EXECUTIVE BOARD – 20 JANUARY 2015

Subject:	Budget Consultation 2015/1	6 Phase 2		
Corporate				
Director(s)/	Glen O'Connell, Acting Corporate Director for Resources			
Director(s):				
Portfolio Holder(s):	Councillor Graham Chapman, Deputy Leader/Portfolio Holder for			
	Resources and Neighbourhood Regeneration			
Report author and	Geoff Walker, Acting Director of Strategic Finance			
contact details:	0115 8763740			
Kay Dagisian	geoff.walker@nottinghamcit		□ Vaa	
Key Decision Reasons: Expenditu	☐ Yes ☐ No ure ☐ Income ☐ Savings of :	Subject to call-in	Yes No	
	the overall impact of the decis	•	Revenue Capit	tal
	ommunities living or working in			
wards in the City	minumics living or working in	1 two of filore	☐ Yes ☒ No	
Total value of the dec	ision: Nil			
Wards affected: All		Date of consultat	ion with Portfolio	
		Holder(s): Through	hout the budget proces	S
Relevant Council Plan	Strategic Priority:			
Cutting unemployment	by a quarter			\boxtimes
Cut crime and anti-soci				
	avers get a job, training or furt	her education than a	any other City	\boxtimes
Š	clean as the City Centre			
Help keep your energy				
Good access to public t				
Nottingham has a good				
	ottingham is a good place to do business, invest and create jobs			
Nottingham offers a wide range of leisure activities, parks and sporting events				
Support early intervention activities Deliver effective, value for money services to our citizens				
Summary of issues (including benefits to citizens/service users): This report contains the Phase 2 proposals for the revenue element of the Council's draft Medium				m
This report contains the Phase 2 proposals for the revenue element of the Council's draft Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) for 2015/16 to 2017/18. Phase 1 proposals were reported to Executive				
Board on 16 December 2014 and comprised savings of £21.800m in 2015/16. These further				
proposals comprise new savings of £3.297m in 2015/16 and accelerated savings of £0.166m.				
proposition in price in a	ag			
In addition £1.862m of corporate and technical changes have been made, in relation to previous				
MTFP assumptions. The final overall proposals for the MTFP, including any changes arising from			m	
consultation, will be considered by Executive Board in February 2015 for recommendation to Full			Ш	
Council in March.				
l ·	The decision is not subject to call-in as Councillor Brian Parbutt, Chair of the Overview and Scrutiny			itiny
Committee, has agreed that the decision is reasonable in all the circumstances and should be treated as a matter of urgency as any delay will impact on the public consultation period.				
	rgency as any delay will impa	ct on the public con	suitation period.	
Exempt information: None				
Recommendation(s):				
1. To note, endorse and release for formal public consultation the proposals as set out in				
	tables 2 and 3 of the report.			are
contained in Appen	•			

1. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1.1 Phase 1 proposals were reported to Executive Board on 16 December 2014 and comprised total savings of £21.800m in 2015/16.
- 1.2 This report presents and seeks endorsement for further saving proposals of £3.463m for 2015/16 (reducing to £2.556m in 2017/18) to enable the release of details for public consultation.
- 1.3 Any options that include proposed workforce reductions will be the subject of consultation, which entails jointly examining and discussing the proposals and issues of concern with the trade unions and affected colleagues. The details of such proposals may, therefore, be subject to change during the consultation period and this may impact on the way in which identified savings will be delivered.

2. BACKGROUND (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

2.1 Overview

- 2.1.1Total savings of £27.125m are required to balance the currently projected MTFP gap in 2015/16.
- 2.1.2The Executive Board report on 16 December set out Phase 1 saving proposals of £21.800m in 2015/16 rising to £29.886m in 2017/18. This left a projected gap in 2015/16 of £5.325m where savings proposals were yet to be finalised.
- 2.1.3This report sets out £1.862m of corporate and technical adjustments together with further budget proposals to be released for consultation. The proposals for consultation comprise of new saving proposals of £3.297m and the acceleration of £0.166m of previously announced Phase 1 proposals from 2016/17 into 2015/16.
- 2.1.4Table 1 summarises the impact of the latest proposals contained in this report. The figures are based on current assumptions which will be updated as appropriate for the February Executive Board Report.

TABLE 1: MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL PLAN			
DESCRIPTION	2015/16 £m	2016/17 £m	2017/18 £m
REMAINING GAP IN DECEMBER REPORT	5.325	23.814	33.064
Corporate / Technical updates			
Reduction in use of New Homes Bonus	(0.300)	(0.300)	(0.300)
Review inflation	(0.075)	(0.075)	(0.075)
Pension liability	(0.522)	(0.250)	(0.100)
Reduction in revenue financing of capital programme	(0.725)	0.000	0.000
Provisional Settlement	(0.240)	(0.240)	(0.240)
SUB-TOTAL	(1.862)	(0.865)	(0.715)
GAP	3.463	22.949	32.349
Phase 2 consultation proposals	(3.297)	(2.556)	(2.556)
Accelerated Phase 1 proposals	(0.166)	0.000	0.000
SUB-TOTAL	(3.463)	(2.556)	(2.556)
GAP	0.000	20.393	29.793

2.2 Corporate/Technical changes

- 2.2.1It is proposed to reduce the amount of New Homes Bonus (NHB) grant used to support investments in Economic Development from £0.800m to £0.500m per annum and use the released grant to alternatively support the revenue MTFP.
- 2.2.2In light of recent reductions in oil prices the inflation assumptions contained within the MTFP have been reviewed with a projected reduction of £0.075m in the specific inflation awarded to Fuel budgets.
- 2.2.3Following on from the triennial valuation issued in 2014, the basis for the reflection of the pension liability in the MTFP has been reviewed. This has enabled savings of £0.522m to be realised in 2015/16 reducing to £0.100m in 2017/18.
- 2.2.4A review of the funds identified to finance the capital programme has been undertaken and, due to the timing and profiling of spend, there is an opportunity to reduce the revenue resources set aside to fund the capital programme in 2014/15. £0.725m can therefore be utilised in 2015/16 to support the MTFP.
- 2.2.5The provisional financial settlement for 2015/16 was announced by DCLG on 18 December 2014. The provisional figure for Revenue Support Grant (RSG) was £0.468m higher and the Top-up Grant for business rates was £0.228m lower than previously assumed for 2015/16.
- 2.2.6A fuller analysis of the final financial settlement expected in early February and its impact on Nottingham will be reported to the February Executive Board.

2.3 Proposed Phase 2 Savings

2.3.1Table 2 summarises the further proposals to be delivered by each lead portfolio.

Appendix 1 provides more details of each individual proposal.

TABLE 2 : PHASE 2 CONSULTATION PROPOSALS			
LEAD PORTFOLIO	2015/16 £m	2016/17 £m	2017/18 £m
Adults & Health	(0.527)	(0.527)	(0.527)
Children's Services	(0.375)	(0.175)	(0.175)
Commissioning & Voluntary Sector	(0.742)	(0.683)	(0.683)
Community Services	(0.658)	(0.173)	(0.173)
Jobs & Growth	(0.100)	(0.100)	(0.100)
Leisure & Culture	(0.098)	(0.098)	(0.098)
Planning & Transportation	(0.280)	(0.280)	(0.280)
Resources & Neighbourhood Regeneration	(0.517)	(0.520)	(0.520)
TOTAL	(3.297)	(2.556)	(2.556)

2.3.2Table 3 summarises the accelerated Phase 1 proposals to be delivered by each lead portfolio. Appendix 2 provides more details of each individual proposal.

TABLE 3 : ACCELERATED PHASE 1 PROPOSALS			
LEAD PORTFOLIO	2015/16 £m	2016/17 £m	2017/18 £m
Adults & Health	(0.053)	0.000	0.000
Commissioning & Voluntary Sector	(0.050)	0.000	0.000

TOTAL	(0.166)	0.000	0.000
Resources & Neighbourhood Regeneration	(0.028)	0.000	0.000
Planning & Transportation	(0.035)	0.000	0.000

2.3.3For the purpose of this report all proposals are identified against the lead portfolio holder. However, some proposals cut across two or more portfolios. This more detailed analysis will be reflected in the February MTFP report.

3. OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 Throughout the budget process a range of different options are considered including various levels of council tax, investment and cost reductions. This is a complex process with many iterations and possibilities too numerous and detailed to present as discrete options here. This report presents the overall set of current draft proposals which together seek to balance levels of investment, income, cost reductions and an appropriate level of council tax.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING VALUE FOR MONEY/VAT)

- 4.1 The City Council's annual budget, within the MTFP, forms the cornerstone of financial management and control within the organisation. All revenue spending and income will continue to be monitored against the final MTFP.
- 4.2 The Council has developed a robust approach to providing value for money and efficiency savings which support the delivery of the Council Plan. The embedding of a robust VFM framework is one of the key strands within our transformation programme, but it is through the mainstream application of such principles within service planning and delivery that VFM will be delivered. The Audit Committee has responsibility for the scrutiny and challenge of the framework and its implementation.

5. RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES (INCLUDING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS AND CRIME AND DISORDER ACT IMPLICATIONS)

- 5.1 The City Council is required to set a balanced budget for 2015/16 before 11 March 2015.
- 5.2 Insofar as the cost reduction proposals as set out in this report contain workforce reduction proposals, Section 188(1) of the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992 ("TULR(C)A") may well be engaged and sufficient time will need to be set aside for relevant consultation with the appropriate representatives of affected employees.
- 5.3 A detailed and comprehensive interim risk assessment will be undertaken in order to inform the Chief Finance Officer's (CFO's) assessment of the affordability of the MTFP and the consequent recommended levels of reserves and contingencies. Any increases in these levels, reflect the higher level of risk inherent in the budget arising from significantly reduced external funding sources, transfer of risks from Central Government and the resultant high levels of cost reductions required. The final risk assessment will inform the budget report to Executive Board in February.

6. SOCIAL VALUE CONSIDERATIONS

6.1 None

7. REGARD TO THE NHS CONSTITUTION

7.1 Not applicable

8. EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA)

- 8.1 An EIA is not required. Any decisions relating to the draft budget proposals will be set out in further reports to Executive Board in February and to City Council in March 2015. Equality Impact Assessments are being carried out, where appropriate, for all relevant budget proposals and a summary will be provided with these reports.
- 9. <u>LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS RELIED UPON IN WRITING THIS REPORT (NOT INCLUDING PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS OR CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION)</u>
- 9.1 None
- 10. PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN THIS REPORT
- 10.1 None

11. OTHER COLLEAGUES WHO HAVE PROVIDED INPUT

11.1 Theresa Channell - Head of Corporate and Strategic Finance 0115 8763657 theresa.channell@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

11.2 Ian Fair – Finance Analyst (Budget) 0115 8763651 ian.fair@nottinghamcity.gov.uk